|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date | Writing | code | others |
| By 8.27 | **Rewrite the introduction:**  1)clarify the motivation and working scenario,  2)fix grammar errors  **Rewrite the design consideration part:**  Involve people with different background as reference editor | Some experiment about the slide animation. | Pick another case that has a story to tell |
| By 9.3 | **Convert the working scenario part as a case study**  **System part**, clarify the following:   1. How we process an input image 2. How template works 3. How the supported animations are chosen | Case of opinion seer,  Add the node-link graph |  |
| By 9.7 | **The evaluation**:   1. Compare Narvis with ps, which enables the editing with svg 2. Change reference editors 3. Fix the problem of some figures | The evaluation |  |
| By 9.14 | **Theoretical framework**:   1. Delete the claim about the constructing way, 2. rewrite the theoretical framework. 3. Clarify its relationship with previous work 4. Emphasize how this framework, especially the relationship between units, guide the implementation of Narvis 5. Declare the limitation of this framework, especially table 1 | Another case study |  |
| By 9.21 | Another Case study |  |  |
| By 9.28 | polishing |  | Make video |